

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/710,593	07/22/2004	James Lee Uecker	ITW7510.097	4592	
	7590 02/06/2001 PATENT SOLUTION		EXAMINER		
136 S WISCON	ISIN ST		SHAW, CLIFFORD C ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
PORT WASHI	NGTON, WI 53074				
			1725		
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MOI	NTHS	02/06/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- 4
•	10/710,593	UECKER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Clifford C. Shaw	1725 -	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence address	,
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was a reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become	IICATION. The reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final.	·	is
		·	
Disposition of Claims	•	•	
 4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 	·		
5) Claim(s) <u>15-24</u> is/are allowed.	•	On the state of th	•
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 25-28</u> is/are rejected.	•		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement	·	
are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	·· ······· · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>7/22/2004</u> is/are: a)⊠	accepted or b) objecte	ed to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			·
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		•
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		Application No	
- 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have bee	n received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	t received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	• —	Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>0727</u>. 		n(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	

Art Unit: 1725

Detailed Action

1.) The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2.) Claims 9, 10, 13, and 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hackman et al. (2,806,127). Figures 1 and 2 and the discussion at columns 3-5 in the patent to Hackman et al. (2,806,127) disclose a method with the steps claimed in claims 9, 10, and 13, including: defining a wire feed speed at element 42; operating at a reduced wire feed speed before the selected feed speed is reached as shown in figure 2; detecting arc initialization at 40; and pulling a wire from reel 12. In regard to the welding system set forth in claims 25-28, the patent to Hackman et al. (2,806,127) discloses the features claimed, including: power source 24; wire feeder associated with 16; means 38 for controlling a filler material delivery rate including a reduced rate prior to arc stabilization; detecting means 40 to detect the presence of an arc (this necessarily includes detecting arc initiation and detecting an arc when it is stable).
- 3.) The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The second of the second of the

Application/Control Number: 10/710,593

Art Unit: 1725

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 4.) Claims 1-8, 11, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hackman et al. (2,806,127). As, discussed above, the patent to Hackman et al. (2,806,127) discloses a method with steps claimed. The claims differ in calling for an a reduction of wire feed speed for a duration based on detecting the arc and on the user selected speed. This difference does not patentably distinguish over the prior art. The graph in figure 2 of the patent to Hackman et al. (2,806,127) shows how wire feed speed ramps up from a set inch rate to the user selected speed after an arc is detected at time "E". It is considered obvious that the duration of time between "E" and the full user-set welding wire feed rate will be a function of the value of the feed rate because it will clearly take a longer period of time to accelerate to a higher set speed and a shorter period of time to accelerate to a lower set speed.
- 5.) Claims 9 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Denis (3,934,110, cited by applicant). The discussion at columns 1-2 of the figure in the patent to Denis (3,934,110) discloses a method of establishing a welding arc wherein a welding wire feed

Application/Control Number: 10/710,593

Art Unit: 1725

speed is set by element "R3" and an initial run-in speed is set by element "R2". In regard to claim 14, the wire feed in Denis (3,934,110) reverses between the initial period and the arc period, thus passing through a feed speed of zero. The claims differ from the teachings of Denis (3,934,110) in specifying in claim 9 that the wire feed speed is a reduced value prior to the stabilized welding feed speed. This difference does not patentably distinguish over the prior art. It is considered obvious that he initial feed speed in Denis (3,934,110) be set to a value that is less than the welding feed speed, the motivation being the well know practice of "inching" the feed wire prior to welding, this practice alluded to in column 1, lines 15-30 of Denis (3,934,110).

6.) Claims 15-24 are allowable over the prior art of record. None of the prior art of record teaches or suggests a welding system with all of the combined features of independent claim 15, particularly the limitations associated with the acceleration and speed functions executed by the controller in the manner set forth in the claim. Dependent claims 16-24 are allowable at least because they depend from claim 15.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Clifford C Shaw at telephone number 571-272-1182. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday of the first week of the pay period and on Tuesday through Friday of the second week of the pay period.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Patrick J. Ryan, can be reached at 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Clifford C Shaw Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1725

February 1, 2007

in the second of the second of